



**RECORD OF OUTCOMES OF THE PLANNING AND ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
COMMITTEE
HELD VIA ZOOM CONFERENCE ON TUESDAY, 30 JULY 2020**

5. DEVELOPMENT CONTROL AND ENFORCEMENT MATTERS

**5.1 20/00767/PRIOR – VERGE SOUTH OF WAR MEMORIAL AND ADJACENT TO SLIP
ROAD, EASTFIELD, PETERBOROUGH**

RESOLVED:

The Planning Environment Protection Committee considered the report and representations. A motion was proposed and seconded to **GRANT** the application with delegation to officers to determine the colouration of the mast. The Committee **RESOLVED** (10 for, 1 against) to **GRANT** the planning permission.

REASON FOR THE DECISION:

Upon assessment of the proposal, it is considered that the proposed development will not result in an unacceptably harmful impact in terms of its siting and appearance. As such, in accordance with Class A of Part 16 of Schedule 2 of the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) (England) Order 2015 (as amended), the prior approval of the Local Planning Authority is required and it is granted

**5 . 2 20/00321/FUL – GARAGES TO THE REAR OF 266 EASTERN AVENUE,
DOGSTHORPE, PETERBOROUGH PE1 4PZ**

RESOLVED:

The Planning Environment Protection Committee considered the report and representations. A motion was proposed and seconded to **GRANT** the application with an additional condition as outlined below with regards to the boundary wall. The Committee **RESOLVED** (10 for, 1 against) to **GRANT** the planning permission subject to relevant conditions delegated to officers.

In the event that the external rear and/or side walls of the garages, to support the units hereby permitted, are not suitable for retention or that the walls are impractical to retain, no development (including demolition of any garage units) shall commence on the site until a scheme for the replacement of the rear and/or side garage walls have been submitted to and approved in writing by the Local Planning Authority. The scheme shall include details of a brick wall to replace the existing garage walls. The development shall only be undertaken in accordance with the approved scheme.

REASON FOR THE DECISION:

Subject to the imposition of the attached conditions, the proposal is acceptable having been assessed in the light of all material considerations, including weighing against relevant policies of the development plan and specifically:

- The principle of development is acceptable. - The character and appearance of the site and the surrounding area would not be adversely harmed by the proposed development, in accordance with Policy LP16 of the Peterborough Local Plan (2019). - The proposed housing scheme would provide acceptable provision and it is not considered that the proposal would unacceptably impact upon the safety of surrounding highways, in accordance with Policy LP13 of the Peterborough Local Plan (2019).
- The impacts of the proposed development to surrounding neighbours would not cause unacceptable harm to their amenity, in accordance with Policy LP17 (Part A) of the Peterborough Local Plan (2019).
- The amenity of future occupiers would be acceptably served by the proposed development, in accordance with Policy LP17 (Part B) of the Peterborough Local Plan (2019).
- The proposal would not adversely impact upon any protected trees, in accordance with Policy LP29 of the Peterborough Local Plan (2019).
- The proposal would not adversely impact upon the drainage of the site, in accordance with Policy LP32 of the Peterborough Local plan (2019).
- The proposed scheme would not disturb any significant buried heritage assets, in accordance with Policy LP19 of the Peterborough Local Plan (2019).

In the interests of the visual amenity of this area and security of residents in accordance with Policy LP16 of the Peterborough Local Plan (2019).

5.3 20/00206/FUL – 24 PARK ROAD, PETERBOROUGH, PE1 2TD

RESOLVED:

The Planning Environment Protection Committee considered the report and representations. A motion was proposed and seconded to **REFUSE** the application. The Committee **RESOLVED** (10 for, 1 abstain) to **REFUSE** the planning permission.

REASON FOR THE DECISION:

The proposal was unacceptable having been assessed in light of all material considerations, including weighing against relevant policies of the development plan.

5 . 4 20/00599/WCPP – FORGE COTTAGE 10 THE GREEN, GLINTON, PETERBOROUGH

RESOLVED:

The Planning Environment Protection Committee considered the report and representations. A motion was proposed and seconded to **GRANT** the application. The Committee **RESOLVED**

(Unanimously) to **GRANT** the planning permission subject to relevant conditions delegated to officers.

REASON FOR THE DECISION:

Subject to the imposition of the attached conditions, the proposal is acceptable having been assessed in the light of all material considerations, including weighing against relevant policies of the development plan and specifically:

- The character and appearance of the site and the surrounding area would not be adversely impacted upon by the proposed development, in accordance with Sections 66(1) and 72(1) of the Town and Country Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act (1990) (as amended), Policies LP16 and LP19 of the Peterborough Local Plan (2019) and Design and Development in Selected Villages SPD (Glington) (2011).
- The proposal would not unacceptably impact upon the amenity of surrounding neighbours, in accordance with Policy LP17 of the Peterborough Local Plan (2019).
- Acceptable parking and turning space would be retained on-site, in accordance with Policy LP13 of the Peterborough Local Plan (2019).
- Trees on-site would not be adversely harmed by the proposed development, in accordance with Policy LP29 of the Peterborough Local Plan (2019).
- The proposal would not adversely impact upon any significant buried assets, in accordance with Policy LP19 of the Peterborough Local Plan (2019).